

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

7 JOSHUA STRADINGER; individually  
8 and on behalf of all others similarly  
9 situated,

10 Plaintiffs

11 v.

12  
13 PROSPECT MEDICAL HOLDINGS,  
14 INC., and DOES 1- 10,

15 Defendants.  
16  
17  
18

Case No.: 2:23-cv-08284-SB-SSC  
*and related cases numbered*

2:23-cv-08325-SB-SSC

2:23-cv-08331-SB-SSC

2:23-cv-08312-SB-SSC

8:23-cv-01869-SB-SSC

2:23-cv-08701-SB-SSC

2:23-cv-09508-SB-SSC

ORDER GRANTING  
STIPULATION TO CONSOLIDATE  
[DKT. NO. 16]

JUDGE: Hon. Stanley Blumenfeld, Jr.

1 This matter comes before the Court on the Parties Stipulation to Consolidate  
2 Related Cases (the “Stipulation”).

3 WHEREAS, the Plaintiffs in the following six related cases pending in this  
4 District: *Joshua Stradinger v. Prospect Medical Holdings, Inc., et al.*, No. 2:23-cv-  
5 08284-SB-SSC (“*Stradinger*”); *Mario Robles v. Prospect Medical Holdings, Inc.*,  
6 No. 2:23-cv-08312-SB-SSC (“*Robles*”); *Laura Doverspike v. Prospect Medical*  
7 *Holdings, Inc., et al.*, No. 2:23-cv-08325-SB-SSC (“*Doverspike*”); *Rodney Hoggro*  
8 *v. Prospect Medical Holdings, Inc.*, No. 2:23-cv-08331-SB-SSC (“*Hoggro*”);  
9 *Yolanda Boyle v. Prospect Medical Holdings, Inc.*, No. 8:23-cv-01869-SB-SSC  
10 (“*Boyle*”) and *Jay Goldstein v. Prospect Medical Holdings, Inc.*, No. 2:23-cv-  
11 08701-SB-SSC (“*Goldstein*”) (collectively, the “Related Cases”), and Defendant  
12 Prospect Medical Holdings, Inc. (“Defendant”) (together with Plaintiffs, the  
13 “Parties”), agree that these cases, as well as any subsequently filed or transferred  
14 related cases should be consolidated under the low-numbered *Stradinger* case, No.  
15 2:23-cv-08284-SB-SSC pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a);

16 WHEREAS, the Plaintiff in *Latoya Pratcher v. Prospect Medical Holdings*  
17 *Inc. et al.*, No. 2:23-cv-09508-SB-SSC does not agree that the case should be  
18 consolidated unless the Plaintiff chooses not to file a motion to remand by  
19 December 8, 2024, in which case the Plaintiff agrees to consolidation; and

20 WHEREAS, the complaints in the Related Cases relate to the same, common  
21 factual allegations and legal theories. The Related Cases assert multiple common  
22 causes of action against the common Defendant relating to the same factual  
23 underpinnings related to the same alleged data breach underlying this litigation and  
24 seek the same relief in response to the same event; the Related Cases commonly  
25 seek certification of an overlapping class definitions and allege that class members  
26 suffered harm as a result of Defendant’s alleged failure to properly secure and  
27 protect Plaintiffs’ and class members’ personally identifiable information (“PII”)

1 from foreseeable cyber threats, resulting in the theft and dissemination of their PII  
2 on the dark web.

3 The Stipulation is hereby **GRANTED**.

4 Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** as follows:

5 1. The Related Cases are consolidated for all purposes (the  
6 “Consolidated Action”) pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a).

7 2. To ensure consistent and efficient adjudications in this Court, the  
8 “Consolidated Action” will bear Lead Case No. 2:23-cv-08284-SB-SSC, the  
9 number assigned to the first-filed case and reflect the following caption:  
10

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
12 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 IN RE PROSPECT MEDICAL  
14 HOLDINGS, INC. DATA BREACH

Lead Case No. 2:23-cv-08284-SB-SSC

15 This Document Relates To:  
16

17 3. The case file for the Consolidated Action will be maintained under  
18 Lead Case No. 2:23-cv-08284-SB-SSC (the “Lead Case”). When a pleading is  
19 intended to apply to all actions to which this Order applies, the words “All  
20 Actions” shall appear immediately after the words “This Document Relates To:” in  
21 the caption described above. When a pleading is not intended to apply to all  
22 actions, the docket number for each individual action to which the paper is  
23 intended to apply and the last name of the first-named plaintiff in said action shall  
24 appear immediately after the words “This Document Relates To:” in the caption  
25 identified above, e.g., “2:23-cv-08284-SB-SSC (*Stradinger*).”  
26

27 4. The Parties shall file a Notice of Related Cases whenever required by  
28 the Local Rules.

1           5. If the Court determines that the case is related, the clerk shall:

2           a) Place a copy of this Order in the separate file for such action;

3           b) Serve on Plaintiffs' counsel in the new case a copy of this Order;

4           c) Direct that this Order be served upon Defendant(s) in the new case;  
5           and

6           d) Make appropriate entry in the Lead Case's docket.

7           6. Any attorney who has filed an action in this litigation may file an  
8 application for appointment as Interim Class Counsel or other designated counsel  
9 either individually or as part of a proposed leadership structure. All applications  
10 should be limited to 10 pages, not including any supporting evidence, and e-filed in  
11 Lead Case No. 2:23-cv-08284-SB-SSC within seven days after the date the Court  
12 enters a Consolidation Order.  
13

14           7. Plaintiffs shall file a Consolidated Complaint no later than seven days  
15 following the entry of an order appointing Interim Class Counsel, which shall be  
16 the operative complaint in the Consolidated Action and shall supersede all previous  
17 complaints filed in any of the Related Cases.

18           8. Any response to the Consolidated Complaint shall be due within 14  
19 days from the filing of the Consolidated Complaint. All prior response deadlines  
20 are vacated. In the event Defendants intend to file one or more motions to dismiss,  
21 the Parties will comply with Local Civil Rules 7-3 through 7-10.

22           9. Defendant's deadlines to respond to the complaints currently pending  
23 in each of the Related Cases are held in abeyance until after the Consolidated  
24 Complaint is filed.

25           10. This Order shall apply to the above-listed Related Cases and any  
26 subsequently consolidated action.

27           11. Any subsequently appointed Interim Co-Lead Counsel and/or Liaison  
28 Counsel must serve a copy of this Order and all future orders promptly by

1 overnight delivery service, facsimile, or other expeditious electronic means on  
2 counsel for plaintiffs in any related case to the extent that Interim Co-Lead Counsel  
3 and/or Liaison Counsel are aware of any such action(s) and on all attorneys for  
4 Plaintiffs whose cases may subsequently be consolidated with the above  
5 actions but who have not yet registered for ECF.

6 12. This Order shall not apply to *Latoya Pratcher v. Prospect Medical*  
7 *Holdings Inc. et al.*, No. 2:23-cv-09508-SB-SSC. If Plaintiff in *Pratcher* does not  
8 file a motion to remand by December 8, 2023, or if this Court denies any such filed  
9 motion, then *Pratcher* shall become a Related Case, consolidated by operation of  
10 this Order and without further action from the Court.

11  
12  
13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14  
15 DATED: December 1, 2023

16   
17 \_\_\_\_\_  
18 STANLEY BLUMENFELD, JR.  
19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28